INTRODUCTION

Dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the standard protocol for the breast MRI, which evaluates the morphologic and kinetic features of breast lesions. It is the most sensitive and accurate imaging modality used for the detection and characterization of breast cancer. DCE MRI can detect breast cancers that were occult on mammography and ultrasound at an earlier stage and consequently reduce the occurrence of interval cancers (1-8). However, high cost, long duration of examination and the use of contrast agents have limited the widespread use of DCE MRI in breast cancer screening. Although abbreviated breast MRI has solved some of the aforementioned problems, it still requires the use of contrast agents, which limits its use in population-based screening (9). Recently, there have been growing public concerns over the unknown health effects of gadolinium deposition in brain and other tissues, as a consequence of repeated gadolinium contrast agent injections (10, 11). Therefore, in the current clinical scenario, research involving the development of an unenhanced, rapid and less expensive screening tool that complements mammography and is potentially safer than DCE MRI has become increasingly important.

Diffusion-weighted (DW) imaging is a functional MRI technique that can produce contrast in tissues without using gadolinium contrast medium injections and the process of whole breast imaging can be completed within a few minutes (12). Various useful clinical applications of DW MRI in breast imaging have been explored so far and a growing number of imaging centers are incorporating DW MRI into the routine clinical breast MRI examination. However, DW MRI acquisition parameters are not standardized and there is no uniform method of interpretation; consequently, resulting in a large variability in image quality and diagnostic performance, which has
prevented the incorporation of DW MRI findings into the Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS). Standardized acquisition protocols and interpretation guidelines are required, in order to facilitate the clinical application of DW MRI and to enable cross-institutional comparisons. Recently, the European Society of Breast Radiology (EUSOBI) issued a consensus statement, which describes the acquisition parameters for standard breast DW MRI sequences (13).

In the present article, the authors briefly review the basic principles, optimized image acquisition and standardized interpretation guidelines for DW MRI and subsequently explain the clinical applications of DW MRI in breast imaging. The extensions of DW MRI to characterize diffusion directionality and perfusion fractions within tissues, namely the diffusion tensor imaging (14, 15) and intravoxel incoherent motion imaging (16), are the fields of active research that have the potential to provide valuable information. However, the aforementioned imaging modalities are not routinely used in clinical breast imaging and hence, they are not included in the current review.

Basics Physics of DW MRI

DW MRI involves the use of a specific sequence in which the diffusion or random motion of water molecules in a tissue primarily contributes to image contrast. The sequence, originally proposed by Stejskal and Tanner (17), was based on a spin echo sequence that has symmetric diffusion sensitizing gradients, inserted before and after the 180° refocusing pulse. Paired pulsed gradients cause the signal loss from diffusing water spins, but stationary water spins remain unaffected. The reduction in the intensity of DW MRI signal is proportional to the water mobility and is commonly described by the monoexponential equation:

\[ S_0 = S_0e^{-b*\text{ADC}} \]

where \( S_0 \) is the signal intensity with diffusion-weighting, \( S_0 \) is the signal intensity without diffusion-weighting, \( b \) is the diffusion sensitization factor and ADC is the apparent diffusion coefficient. The \( b \) value is a factor that reflects the degree of diffusion-weighting, which is determined by the amplitude and duration of the sensitizing gradients and the time interval between the gradient pair (expressed in sec/mm²). ADC is defined as the average area occupied by a water molecule per unit time (expressed in mm²/sec) and can be calculated using the image acquisitions at two or more different \( b \) values.

The standard DW MRI sequence produces two sets of images (Fig. 1): T2-weighted reference images obtained without diffusion gradients (\( S_0 \)), and DW images obtained with diffusion gradients (\( S_D \)) that reflect the water mobility. The parametric ADC map is created to enable the diffusion quantification without T2 shine-through effects. An area of restricted diffusion, such as a breast cancer lesion, appears bright on the DW image and dark on the ADC map (Fig. 1) (18).

Image Acquisition

Standardized Acquisition Parameters

The acquisition parameters can affect the quality of DW MRI and ADC values. Although different parameters may need to be used for DW MRI, depending on the MRI machine, several acquisition parameters are suggested to ensure the quality of breast DW MRI. Recently, the EUSOBI working group issued a consensus statement, which outlined the minimum set of acquisition parameters that should be
met in clinical practice and proposed a guideline for the standardized acquisition protocol (Table 1) (13). According to the aforementioned guidelines, breast DW MRI should be performed in a closed bore magnet at field strength of 1.5T or higher with a maximum gradient strength of at least 30 mT/m, using a dedicated breast coil with at least four channels, and before the administration of the contrast agent when possible (19). In combination with the spin echo, single-shot or multishot echo-planar imaging (EPI) should be used as the readout sequence in axial planes of bilateral breasts with a minimum in-plane resolution of 2 x 2 mm² and a section thickness of 4 mm or less. The echo time should be minimized to the lowest possible value, in order to reduce susceptibility artifacts, and the repetition time should be 3000 msec or more. In practice, all EPI sequences are fat-suppressed to prevent ghosting and the potential underestimation of ADC values. Among the different methods employed for the fat suppression, spectral adiabatic inversion recovery is preferred over the short tau inversion recovery. Parallel imaging with an acceleration factor of 2 is recommended, in order to reduce the distortion attributable to susceptibility artifacts. An ADC map, calculated using at least two b values, needs to be generated.

**Choice of b Value**

The choice of b value is important because it determines the ADC value and affects the signal-to-noise ratio of the image and the contrast-to-noise ratio of the lesion (20-23). The ADC values decrease with the increase in b values, owing to the non-Gaussian nature of water diffusion in tissues (13). Hence, using a common b value is important for the purpose of standardization and comparison. Recently, a high b value of 800 sec/mm² and a low b value of 0–50 sec/mm² were chosen by the EUSOBI working group as a good compromise for the standardization and accurate estimation of breast ADCs (13). However, in terms of qualitative lesion detection, DW MRI with a very high b value of 1200–1500 sec/mm² may be optimal because higher b values increase the visibility of the lesion and the specificity of lesion detection, despite the lower signal-to-noise ratios and longer duration of imaging (Fig. 2) (20, 22). Hence, when DW MRI is used for screening examinations, where both the lesion detection and accurate ADC quantitation are priorities, acquisition with three different ranges of b values, i.e., 0–50 sec/mm², 800

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Minimum Requirement*</th>
<th>Requirement for Screening Examination†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet field strength</td>
<td>≥ 1.5T</td>
<td>3T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of coil</td>
<td>Dedicated breast coil with ≥ 4 channels</td>
<td>16 or 18 channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of acquisition</td>
<td>Before contrast injection, when possible</td>
<td>Before contrast injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition parameter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of sequence</td>
<td>EPI based</td>
<td>EPI based (single-shot or multishot)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Axial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view</td>
<td>Both breasts with or without covering the axillary region</td>
<td>Both breasts with covering the axillary region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-plane resolution</td>
<td>≤ 2 x 2 mm²</td>
<td>≤ 1.3 x 1.3 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice thickness</td>
<td>≤ 4 mm</td>
<td>≤ 3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of b values</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest b value</td>
<td>0 sec/mm² (not exceeding 50 sec/mm²)</td>
<td>0 sec/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High b value</td>
<td>800 sec/mm²</td>
<td>800 sec/mm² and additional acquisition of 1200 sec/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat saturation</td>
<td>SPAIR</td>
<td>SPAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Minimum possible</td>
<td>Minimum possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>≥ 3000 ms</td>
<td>≥ 7500 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration</td>
<td>Parallel imaging (factor ≥ 2)</td>
<td>Parallel imaging (factor ≥ 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-processing</td>
<td>Generation of ADC maps</td>
<td>Generation of ADC maps, additional generation of MIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommendation of the European Society of Breast Radiology (13). †Recommended in Korean multicenter screening DW MRI study. ADC = apparent diffusion coefficient, DW = diffusion-weighted, EPI = echo-planar imaging, MIP = maximum intensity projection, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, SPAIR = spectral adiabatic inversion recovery, STIR = short tau inversion recovery, TE = echo time, TR = repetition time
sec/mm², and 1200–1500 sec/mm², may be recommended (Table 1) (19). The diffusion-sensitizing gradients are usually applied in three orthogonal directions (x, y, or z axes) and the acquired images are automatically averaged into a final combined image.

**Advanced Acquisition Technique**

Conventional DW MRI is performed using a single-shot EPI, in which all k-space lines, which form the image, are acquired during a single excitation. Thus, EPI is a rapid MRI technique, capable of acquiring individual MR slices within a time frame of 50–100 msec, consequently minimizing the effects of patient movement (24). However, EPI suffers from susceptibility artifacts or distortions, low signal-to-noise ratio and spatial blurring, particularly at higher field strengths. Furthermore, in case of small lesions (< 1 cm in size), the typical DW MRI axial in-plane spatial resolution of 2 x 2 mm² and section thickness of 4 mm can result in a significant partial volume effect. In order to facilitate the use of DW MRI as an unenhanced screening method, its ability to detect and characterize breast lesions, including subcentimeter lesions, should be enhanced.

Currently, advanced DW MRI techniques to improve the image quality and achieve higher spatial resolution are under research. Such techniques include the readout-segmented EPI, a multishot EPI approach in which k-space sampling occurs with a small number (three to six) of excitations (shots) and each shot divides the k-space into so-called segments. Multishot EPI reduces the required matrix size acquired per shot, thus, reducing the susceptibility artifacts and allowing for higher spatial resolution and total image matrix size at the expense of the acquisition time (Fig. 3) (25-28). Currently, this sequence is marketed by a vendor (Siemens Healthineers) under the trade name **Lee et al.**
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RESOLVE (readout segmentation of long variable echo trains). Another advanced technique that aims to improve the spatial resolution of DW MRI is the reduced field-of-view (rFOV). The rFOV technique permits high resolution DW MRI of the targeted volume by decreasing the required number of k-space lines while reducing the distortion. The technique is commercially available from several vendors as FOCUS (GE Medical Systems), ZOOMit (Siemens Healthineers) and iZOOM (Philips Healthcare). Several studies have reported that DW MRI with rFOV improved the lesion conspicuity, compared to DW MRI with full FOV (Fig. 3) (29-31). However, the absolute ADCs in DW MRI with rFOV were lower, compared to DW MRI with full FOV ($p < 0.001$), which may render the previously published ADC cutoff values less useful in the interpretation of DW MRI with rFOV (30, 31).

Postprocessing

Postprocessing may also improve the image quality of DW MRI by correcting the geometric distortions arising from field inhomogeneities and other factors (32). However, a previous study reported that up to 10% of the breast DW MRI scans showed spatial mismatch between the DW images that could not be corrected by a registration algorithm, consequently emphasizing the importance of implementing techniques in minimizing the effects of eddy-currents and patient movement at the time of acquisition (33). Other postprocessing techniques, including maximum intensity projections (MIPs), which select the matrix voxel with the highest signal intensity from the multiple sections to produce a single image of the whole examination volume, or the fusion of high b value DW MRI to unenhanced T1-weighted or T2-weighted images, improve the lesion detection and conspicuity on DW MRI by enhancing the image display (26, 27, 34-36). Last of all, the computed DW MRI is a technique used for obtaining high b value images from those acquired at lower b values. The aforementioned

Fig. 3. DW MRI acquired using different acquisition techniques at the b value of 1000 sec/mm².
A. Single-shot EPI with in-plane resolution of 1.3 x 1.3 mm². B. Readout-segmented EPI with in-plane resolution of 1.3 x 1.3 mm². C. Reduced field of view technique with in-plane resolution of 0.59 x 0.59 mm². The T1-weighted dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI with in-plane resolution of 0.9 x 0.9 mm². D. Demonstrates two adjacent irregular enhancing masses. Core needle biopsy and conservation surgery revealed two adjacent grade 2 invasive ductal carcinomas of size 2.4 cm and 0.5 cm. EPI = echo-planar imaging, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging
technique can provide high b value images with good image quality and high background suppression, while maintaining a short duration of imaging, and provide the flexibility for retrospective generation of images at any b value for optimal interpretation (37, 38).

Image Interpretation

Imaging Features of Normal Breast Parenchyma and Background Diffusion Signals

In order to develop standardized interpretation criteria, it is necessary to understand the appearance and normative range of ADCs in the breast parenchyma on DW MRI. The normal breast parenchymal tissue exhibits a high signal intensity on DW MRI with low b value, as the low b value image is primarily T2-weighted. As the b value increases, the signal intensity of normal breast tissue gets suppressed (Fig. 2). The degree of background diffusion signal on high b value DW MRI can vary among women and can be visually assessed according to the 4-point scale of minimum, mild, moderate and marked (Fig. 4); similar to the background parenchymal enhancement in DCE MRI (39). Several previous studies have attempted to establish a normative range of breast parenchymal ADC and the reported mean ADCs of normal breast tissue varies over a wide range from $1.51 \times 10^{-3}$ to $2.09 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec (with the maximum b

![Fig. 4. The degree of background diffusion signals on DW MRI.](image-url)

MIP images of DW MRI acquired at the b value of 1200 sec/mm$^2$ displaying minimal (A), mild (B), moderate (C), and marked (D) background diffusion signals. MIP = maximum intensity projection
values ranging from 600 to 1000 sec/mm²) (12). Although hormonal fluctuations may influence the breast ADC values, a recent study reported that the ADC values of normal breast parenchyma are not significantly affected by the menstrual cycle (40). Conversely, breast density can affect the ADC values of the breast parenchyma and the ADC values tend to be lower in fatty breasts than in dense breasts, which is most probably attributable to the intravoxel partial volume averaging with fat (41).

**Lesion Detection and Qualitative Assessment on DW MRI**

In a multiparametric breast MRI protocol, lesion detection can primarily be based on the evaluation of contrast-enhanced sequences (13). When DW MRI is employed as a stand-alone screening tool, lesions, defined as unique areas of high signal intensity that are distinct from background signals, must be detected on high b value DW MRI (19).

The use of MIP of DW MRI enables a quick overview of the entire breast volume and shortens the reading time for lesion detection (Fig. 5); similar to the use of MIPs for abbreviated contrast-enhanced MRI protocols (35). If lesions with high signal intensity are detected in the DW MRI, the location, size and morphology of the lesions can be assessed qualitatively. Morphology of the lesions on DW images can be categorized as foci, masses, or non-mass lesions (Fig. 6). In case of the lesions categorized as masses, shape (round/oval, irregular) and internal signal pattern (homogeneous, heterogeneous, rim) can be reported, whereas in non-mass lesions, distribution (focal, regional, linear, segmental) and internal signal pattern

---

**Fig. 5. Lesion detection and characterization on DW MRI.**

Sagittal image from dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (A) shows an irregular enhancing mass (arrow) in the left breast at the 1 o’clock position. On reconstructed sagittal MIP of DW MRI obtained with a b value of 1200 sec/mm² (B), a mass of high signal intensity (arrow) distinct from the background diffusion signal is easily detectable. On the corresponding axial images of DW MRI obtained with a b value of 800 sec/mm² (C) and ADC map (D), an irregular mass of high signal intensity (arrow) with a low mean ADC of $0.97 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/sec is demonstrated. Core needle biopsy and conservation surgery revealed a grade 2 invasive ductal carcinoma of size 1.5 cm.
Qualitative evaluation of the lesion morphology might be of assistance in avoiding the misclassification of false-positive benign lesions, such as complicated cysts or fibroadenomas, as well as to avoid misdiagnosis of false-negative malignant lesions, including mucinous carcinoma or invasive breast cancer with extensive necrosis (42).

**Quantitative Assessment on DW MRI**

Lesions detected on high b value DW MRI require cross-correlation with the ADC map, in order to rule out “T2 shine-through” effects and the lesions with true restricted diffusion should exhibit low ADCs. Quantitative ADC values (expressed in the units of $10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec) are measured by drawing a region of interest (ROI) on the lesion on the ADC map. The ROI should be drawn completely within the lesion, consistent with the hyperintense areas on high b value DW MRI, while avoiding normal tissue and areas of necrosis, hemorrhage, or fat by cross referencing with the contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images or unenhanced T1- and T2-weighted images, if available (12, 43). On the subject of the size of the ROI to be used, two multicenter trials in the United States employed the method of drawing the ROI for the entire lesion and measuring the average ADC across a lesion (44, 45). However, according to a recently published international expert agreement, the use of a small ROI placed on the darkest part of the lesion on the ADC map that represents the most suspicious area is suggested as the preferred method for measuring ADC values, in order to reduce the inter- and intra-reader variability and improve the diagnostic performance of breast DW MRI (13). In any case, the type of ROI (whole lesion or focused) used for ADC measurement should be reported.

In view of the fact that the ADC values are dependent on the b factor, a specific ADC threshold value, which can be used to differentiate between benign and malignant lesions, has not been established. The EUSOBI proposed the use of ADC values measured at the high b value of 800 sec/mm$^2$ for the purpose of standardization and they proposed the classification of diffusion level in lesions as
follows: very low (range of ADC, ≤ 0.9 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec); low (range of ADC, 0.9–1.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec); intermediate (range of ADC, 1.3–1.7 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec); high (range of ADC, 1.7–2.1 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec) and very high (range of ADC, > 2.1 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec) (13). The authors also noted that when the ADC value is unrealistically high (> 3 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec) or low (< 0.5 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec), repositioning the ROI may be required to eliminate the bias from adjacent noise regions, such as voxels containing fat (13). The previously reported ADC cutoff values to distinguish between benign and malignant lesions ranged from 1.1 × 10⁻³ to 1.6 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec (46). The choice of ADC cutoff values to differentiate between benign and malignant lesions can depend on the expectations from DW MRI (12). Higher cutoff values should be selected to increase the sensitivity and lower cutoff values are desirable to improve the specificity. A recent multicenter study, the American College of Radiology Imaging Network 6702 trial, evaluated the ADC values of undiagnosed breast lesions (BI-RADS 3, 4, or 5) identified through DCE MRI and proposed 1.68 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec as the cutoff value that can improve the specificity without affecting the sensitivity (45). An ongoing multicenter prospective clinical trial (clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT03835897) in South Korea, which employs the DW MRI for primary breast cancer screening in high-risk women, uses an interpretation algorithm that combines quantitative b value measurements with qualitative morphology evaluation and uses an ADC cutoff value of 1.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec (Fig. 6).

**False-Negative and False-Positive Findings**

The DW MRI signals and ADC values of various breast lesions are summarized in Table 2. DW MRI cannot detect all the malignancies, which can be identified through DCE MRI. False-negative findings on DW MRI can be caused by two primary factors: the characteristics of the carcinoma itself, such as low cellularity or non-mass morphologic type, and the limited resolution of the DW MRI technique, in addition to other technical issues including artifacts, inadequate fat suppression, or low signal-to-noise ratio. Mucinous carcinoma is a well-known cause of false-negative results, owing to the low cellularity and high mucin content (47). Moreover, triple-negative cancer with extensive necrosis can present with high ADC values (48). Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and invasive lobular carcinoma are typically non-mass type carcinomas and are more likely to be missed by DW MRI, compared to the invasive ductal carcinoma, owing to the low conspicuity (49). Finally, considering the typical in-plane spatial resolution (2 × 2 mm²) and section thickness (3–5 mm) of DW MRI, small cancers (1 cm or less in size) are expected to be less detectable or incorrectly characterized on account of the partial volume effects (50, 51). Technical advances in breast DW MRI may improve the detection and characterization of smaller cancer foci and non-mass lesions.

Examples of false-positive findings on breast DW MRI include mastitis, abscesses and hematomas, complicated cysts, intramammary lymph nodes, intraductal papilloma, atypical ductal hyperplasia and fibroadenomas with high cellularity (33, 46, 47, 52, 53). According to previous reports, the diffusion of water molecules is not only restricted in the environments with high cellularity, but also in the regions of intracellular and extracellular edema, regions of high viscosity in abscesses and hematomas, coagulated blood or proteinaceous debris within ducts and cysts, and areas with a high degree of fibrosis (18, 54, 55). Furthermore, artifactual signal at the nipple, an area prone to susceptibility-based distortion on DW MRI, can result in false-positive findings (49, 50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion Type</th>
<th>Pathologic Condition</th>
<th>Signal Intensity on DW MRI with High b value*</th>
<th>Signal Intensity on DW MRI with Low b value</th>
<th>ADC Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High cellularity, high viscosity fluid</td>
<td>Cancer, intraductal papilloma, mastitis/abscess, hemorrhage</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium cellularity, high water content, proteinaceous fluid</td>
<td>Fibroadenoma with increased cellularity, complicated cyst</td>
<td>High to intermediate</td>
<td>Intermediate to high</td>
<td>Intermediate to high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low cellularity, high water content</td>
<td>Cyst, fibroadenoma, mucinous cancer</td>
<td>Intermediate to low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low cellularity, low water content</td>
<td>Fibrous tissue, calcification</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signal intensity may differ depending on the imaging parameters. Higher b values result in overall lower signal from all tissues. *b = 800–1500 sec/mm²*.
Clinical Applications of DW MRI: Current Evidence and Possibilities

Lesion Characterization and Diagnosis

The primary and most explored application of DW MRI in breast imaging has been to use DW MRI as a supplement to DCE MRI in the differential diagnosis of benign and malignant lesions. Two meta-analyses, which evaluated the diagnostic performance of quantitative breast DW MRI, demonstrated that the overall specificity of DW MRI is superior, compared to DCE MRI (56, 57). Several studies, including one prospective multicenter trial, have consistently reported that supplementing DCE MRI with DW MRI improves the specificity (75–84%), compared to the specificity of DCE MRI alone (67–72%); thus, potentially obviating unnecessary biopsies (33, 45, 58, 59).

In addition to the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions, DW MRI has the potential to characterize malignancies in terms of tumor grade and hormone receptor status, and distinguish between invasive and noninvasive diseases. It has been reported that high-grade invasive cancers have lower ADC values, compared to intermediate- or low-grade cancers and DCIS (60-62). ADC values were shown to be higher in estrogen receptor (ER)-negative tumors, compared to the ER-positive tumors; whereas the human epidermal growth factor receptor-enriched tumors exhibited the highest ADC values (48, 63-65). Considering the whole scenario, further studies involving larger cohorts from multiple institutions are required, in order to determine the association between ADC and tumor biomarkers.

Monitoring and Prediction of Treatment Response

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is increasingly being used for breast cancer treatment. Cytotoxic effects of chemotherapy, including cell lysis, apoptosis and necrosis, cause alterations in the cell membrane integrity, which increases the water mobility in the extracellular space that occurs before the advent of morphologic changes. Multiple studies have reported that the increase in tumor ADC, in response to treatment, is detectable earlier than the changes in size or vascularity, as measured by DCE MRI, which may denote an early indication of the treatment efficacy (66-68). Moreover, some studies have found that the pretreatment tumor ADC values are predictive of the pathological response; baseline ADC values were observed to be lower in clinical responders, compared to non-responders (69-72). Residual disease after neoadjuvant chemotherapy may be predicted with greater accuracy by means of the changes in ADC, compared to the changes on DCE MRI in some cases (44). However, in the current literature, there is a wide variability in opinions regarding the utility of DW MRI to monitor and predict treatment response; probably due to the differences in study design, including patient characteristics, treatment regimens, chemotherapy cycle and image timing, DW MRI acquisition parameters and methods of ADC measurement. In this scenario, further investigation is required, in order to validate ADC as a predictive biomarker for treatment response.

Axillary Lymph Nodes

DW MRI is a promising tool, which can be used to differentiate between metastatic and nonmetastatic axillary lymph nodes in patients with breast cancer. According to a meta-analysis, which included ten published studies, the mean ADC value of metastatic lymph nodes was significantly lower, compared to that of nonmetastatic lymph nodes and the pooled sensitivity and specificity of DW MRI were 89% and 83%, respectively (73). Another systematic review reported that DW MRI showed higher median sensitivity (84.2%) and negative predictive value (90.6%), compared to DCE MRI (60% and 80%); however, the sensitivity and negative predictive value was observed to be inferior to unenhanced T1-weighted/T2-weighted MRI (88.4% and 94.7%) (74). Scaranelo et al. (75) reported that axillary lymph node evaluation with DW MRI is reproducible and reliable, but the additional benefit over conventional T1-weighted/T2-weighted MRI is minimal. The use of dedicated axillary protocols may improve the diagnostic performance in nodal staging (76).

Unenhanced MRI for Breast Cancer Screening

DW MRI has the potential to be employed as a stand-alone tool for unenhanced MRI for breast cancer screening. In a study involving 118 mammographically occult lesions (91 benign, 27 malignant), DW MRI could detect 89% of the DCE MRI-detected malignancies, when the readers were not blinded to the images from DCE MRI (56). In another nonblinded study involving 60 mammographically occult cancers, DW MRI detected more cancers, compared to the MRI-guided focused ultrasound (78% and 63%, respectively, p = 0.049) (77). In previous blinded reader studies in which the readers assessed only unenhanced MRI sequences, including DW MRI with or without nonenhanced T1/T2-weighted image, the sensitivity of DW MRI in various study designs ranged from 45% to 94% (27, 34, 49, 50, 78, 79).
The limitations of DW MRI for breast cancer screening include the lack of evidence from larger prospective studies and the difficulty of targeting the lesions in vacuum assisted MRI-guided biopsy of the lesions detected only through DW MRI, particularly in the case of small lesions (less than 1 cm in size) (80). Currently, ongoing prospective clinical trials are investigating the role of DW MRI in screening high-risk women (NCT03835897) or women with dense breasts (NCT03607552), using standardized and optimized DW MRI protocols. Further evidence from the prospective multicenter clinical studies and technical advances in DW MRI will facilitate the use of DW MRI in unenhanced breast cancer screening.

CONCLUSION

In summary, DW MRI is a rapid, unenhanced technique, which shows the potential to be employed in breast cancer screening and can be used in the accurate differential diagnosis of the breast lesions found in DCE MRI and the monitoring of breast cancer response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Standardized acquisition and interpretation protocols can improve the image quality of DW MRI and reduce the variability in results. High resolution DW MRI using advanced acquisition techniques and postprocessing will facilitate better detection and characterization of subcentimeter cancers and reduce the false-negative and false-positive findings. The results from ongoing prospective clinical studies using standardized and optimized protocols will facilitate the use of DW MRI in unenhanced breast cancer screening.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

ORCID iDs

Su Hyun Lee
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0171-8060
Hee Jung Shin
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3398-1074
Woo Kyung Moon
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8931-3772

REFERENCES


20. Tamura T, Murakami S, Naito K, Yamada T, Fujimoto T, Kikkawa T. Investigation of the optimal b-value to detect breast tumors with diffusion weighted imaging by 1.5-T MRI. *Cancer Imaging* 2014;14:11


Standardization of DW MRI Acquisition and Interpretation

https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2020.0093kjronline.org


65. Choi SY, Chang YW, Park HJ, Kim HJ, Hong SS, Seo DY. Correlation of the apparent diffusion coefficient values on diffusion-weighted imaging with prognostic factors for breast cancer. *Br J Radiol* 2012;85:e474-e479


